	Case 2:06-cv-01430-KJD-LRL Document 3 Filed 03/19/07 Page 1 of 3
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
8	* * *
9	GEORGE MERCIER,)
10	Plaintiff,) 2:06-cv-1430-KJD-RJJ
11	vs.) REPORT & RECOMMENDATION
12	FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION, et al.,) OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
13	Defendants.) (Application to Proceed <i>In Forma</i>) Pauperis (#1)
14 15	This matter was submitted before the undersigned Magistrate Judge on Plaintiff, George
16	Mercier's Application to Proceed <i>In Forma Pauperis</i> (#1). The Court has considered the
17	Application (#1) and the Complaint. Additionally, a hearing on this matter was scheduled for
18	March 7, 2007, at 9:00 A.M.
19	BACKGROUND
20	The plaintiff in this case, George Mercier, has filed approximately 75 cases within the last
21	12 months in the United States District Court for the District of Nevada. Mercier filled out an
22	Application to Proceed <i>in Forma Pauperis</i> . Plaintiff stated on his application that he is not
23	presently employed. Plaintiff further stated that he has not received money within the last 12
24	months from: a business, profession or other form of self-employment; rent payments, interest or
25	dividends; pensions, annuities or life insurance payments. Plaintiff does not have any interest in any
26	real estate, stocks, bond, notes, automobiles, or other valuable property. Further, the plaintiff does
27	not have any dependents. Plaintiff stated that he has received gifts or inheritances and money from
28	other sources, welfare. Plaintiff states that he has \$50.00 in a checking or savings account. Plaintiff
	•

provided a supplemental statement in support of his *In Forma Pauperis* Application in which he stated that he was fired from a Chevron Gas Station in September of 2003. (Supplemental Statement in Support of IFP Admissions, attached to Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *In Forma Pauperis* (#1)). The plaintiff further asserts that his income falls below the Federal Poverty Guideline.

DISCUSSION

I. APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

Plaintiff's application is incomplete. Specifically, he checked the boxes indicating that in the past twelve months he had received gifts or inheritances, as well as, money from other sources, but only listed "welfare" in the following section requiring applicants to describe each source of money and the amount received from each source during the past twelve months. Plaintiff's application does not specify the source or amount of the gifts or inheritances he received, nor the amount of welfare that he received.

28 U.S.C. § 1915 allows the court to authorize the commencement of a civil action "without prepayment of fees or give security therefor." The decision to grant or deny *in forma pauperis* status under § 1915 lies within the sound discretion of the court. See, Weller v. Dickson, 314 F.2d 598, 600 (9th Cir. 1963).

Based on the sparse information provided by plaintiff, this Court is unable to determine whether Plaintiff has sufficient financial resources to pay the Court's filing fee. See, 28 U.S.C. § 1915. Therefore, the Court scheduled a hearing in court on Plaintiff's application. Notice was duly given but the Plaintiff failed to appear for the hearing. It was therefore impossible to canvass the Plaintiff regarding assets and income. The Plaintiff did not request a continuance of the hearing and has filed no subsequent explanation for his failure to appear. Therefore, Plaintiff's Application to Proceed *in Forma Pauperis* should be denied.

II. COMPLAINT

This complaint is irrational and frivolous on its face. Further, it is absolutely clear that the deficiencies in this Complaint cannot be cured with leave to amend. Therefore, the Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice.

1	RECOMMENDATION
2	Based on the foregoing and good cause appearing therefore,
3	IT IS THE RECOMMENDATION of the undersigned Magistrate Judge that the
4	Plaintiff's Application to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (#1) be DENIED without prejudice
5	IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED by the undersigned Magistrate Judge that this case
6	be DISMISSED with prejudice.
7	NOTICE
8	Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2 any objection to this Report and Recommendation mus
9	be in writing and filed with the Clerk of the Court on or before March 30, 2007. The Supreme
10	Court has held that the courts of appeal may determine that an appeal has been waived due to the
11	failure to file objections within the specified time. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 142 (1985). This
12	circuit has also held that (1) failure to file objections within the specified time and (2) failure to
13	properly address and brief the objectionable issues waives the right to appeal the District Court's
14	order and/or appeal factual issues from the order of the District Court. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2c
15	1153, 1157 (9th Cir. 1991); Britt v. Simi Valley United Sch. Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983)
16	DATED this 19 th day of March, 2007.
17	
18	00-1110
19	ROBERT J. JOHNSTON
20	United States Magistrate Judge
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	